Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/712,745	SWAIN, BARRIE P.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Robert P. Swiatek.	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Leslie Miller</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 April 2006</u>	Time: <u>-</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 35 USC 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 6, 7, 11, 26, 37		
Prior art documents discussed: Guilleaume (FR 358,771); Barker et al. (GB 5771)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative authorized changes to the above claims in order to further define them over the prior art and place them in better form for allowance. See examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)